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Problem

- Event Driven Servers must avoid blocking on I/O, resource allocation etc.
- Unix Like Systems have non-blocking I/O that can be performed only on network sockets, not files.
- POSIX AIO supports asynchronous I/O on only disk read & write, no other operations supported.
- We need to have a common all purpose asynchronous I/O library.
The Solution
Lazy Asynchronous I/O (LAIO)

- Addresses problems with non-blocking I/O
  - Universality
    - Covers all I/O operations.
  - Simplicity
    - Requires less code.
  - Is Lazy, does asynchronous operation ONLY where required, falls back to older library system call when no blocking takes place.
- Implemented fully in user level library
  - No modification to kernel.
  - LAIO notifies the application AFTER the event completes, not at any intermediate stage.
Why Lazy?

- Most potentially blocking operations don’t actually block.
  - Experiments: 73% - 86% of such operations don’t block
- Reduces overhead for those operations that do not really block.
Event-Driven Servers

- Event loop processes incoming events
- For each incoming event, it dispatches its handler
- Single thread of execution
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Event Handler

- If the I/O operation blocks
  - The server stalls
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THE LAIO API

- LAIO Library consists of three functions:
  - `int laio_syscall(int num, ...)`
    - wrapper around the original `syscall()`
  - `void* laio_gethandle(void)`
  - `int laio_poll (laio_completion[*] completions, int ncompletions, timespec* ts)`
laio_syscall()

- Lazily converts any system call into an asynchronous call
  
  If (! block) {
    - laio_syscall() returns immediately
    - With return value of system call
  }

  } else if (block) {
    - laio_syscall() returns immediately
    - With return value -1
    - errno set to EINPROGRESS
    - Background LAIO operation
  }

}
**laio_syscall()**

- Lazily converts any system call into an asynchronous call
  - If (! block) {
    - laio_syscall() returns immediately
    - With return value of system call
  }
  - else if (block) {
    - laio_syscall() returns immediately
    - With return value -1
    - errno set to EINPROGRESS
    - Background LAIO operation
  }

Wow!!!
laio_gethandle()

If (block) {
    Returns a handle representing the last issued LAIO operation
}
else {
    NULL is returned
}
laio_poll()

- Waits for the completion of background laio_syscall() blocking operation.
- Returns a count of completed background LAIO operations.
- Fills an array with completion entries within the timeout interval.
  - One for each blocking operation.
- Each completion entry has
  - Handle
  - Return value
  - Error value
Event Handler With LAIO

- If operation blocks
  - `laio_syscall()` returns immediately
  - Handler records LAIO handle
  - Returns to event loop
  - Completion notification arrives later
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The Event Loop in LAIO

```c
for (;;) {
    ...
    /* poll for completed LAIO operations; laioc_array is an array of LAIO completion
     * objects; it is an output parameter */
    if ((ncompleted = laio_poll(laioc_array, laioc_array_len, timeout)) == -1)  
        /* handle error */
    for (i = 0; i < ncompleted; i++) {
        ret_val = laioc_array[i].laio_return_value;
        err_val = laioc_array[i].laio_errno;
        /* find the event object for laioc_array[i].laio_handle */
        eventp->ev_func(eventp->ev_arg/* == clientp */, ret_val, err_val);
        /* disable eventp; completions are one-time events */
    }
    ...
```
Event Handler in LAIO

```c
client_write(struct client *clientp)
{
    ... 
    /* initiate the operation; returns immediately */
    ret_val = laio_syscall(SYS_write, clientp->socket, clientp->buffer,
                            clientp->bytes_to_write);
    if (ret_val == -1) {
        if (errno == EINPROGRESS) {
            /* instruct event loop to call client_write_complete() upon completion 
               of this LAIO operation; clientp is passed to client_write_complete() */
            event_set(&clientp->event, laio_gethandle(), EV_LAIO_COMPLETED,
                      client_write_complete, clientp);
            event_add(&clientp->event, NULL);
            return; /* to the event loop */
        } else {
            /* client_write_complete() handles errors */
            err_val = errno;
        }
    } else
        err_val = 0;
    /* completed without blocking */
    client_write_complete(clientp, ret_val, err_val);
    ...
}
LibEvent- A Event Notification Library

http://monkey.org/~provos/libevent/

- We use three methods from this library
  - `event_set()`
    - Event Initialization
  - `event_add()`
    - Monitoring of this initialized event; has to be done explicitly except for persistent events.
  - `event_del()`
    - Event Deletion.

- All these methods work with event objects with three attributes
  - object being monitored, like a socket.
  - Desired state of the object when the event triggers, like data availability in socket.
  - The event handler itself.
What Happens with Completion Objects?

- With each completion object, event loop has to locate each associated event object.
- Call the continuation function stored in the event object with the returned arguments in the completion object.
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LAIO Implementation

- LAIO requires scheduler activations.
- Scheduler activations
  - The kernel delivers an upcall when an operation
    - Blocks - laio_syscall()
    - Unblocks - laio_poll()
LAIO Implementation

`laio_syscall()` - Non-blocking case
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- **Application**
  - Save context
  - Enable upcalls

- **Issue operation**

- **System call blocks?**
  - No
    - Disable upcalls
    - Return retval

- **laio_syscall()**

- **LAIO Library**
laio_syscall() - Blocking case
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• Disable upcalls
• errno = EINPROGRESS
• Return -1

upcall handler
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Kernel

Background laio operation
When timeout occurs...

List of completions is retrieved by the application using `laio_poll()`

- Construct completion structure:
  - `laio` operation handle.
  - System call return value.
  - Error code.
  - Add completion to list of completions.

- Background `laio` operation completes, thread dies
- Upcall on the current thread
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Evaluation

- Micro Benchmark
  - Reading a single byte through pipes, 100,000 times both when pipe was full & when empty.
  - Eliminated the redundant times of disk access.
  - When full, no blocking I/O took place, LAIO was 1.4 slower than non-blocking I/O & AIO was even slower than LAIO.
  - When empty, LAIO was a factor of 1.08 slower than AIO.
  - Slowness, I guess can be attributed to the extra logic that is added to check whether an I/O actually blocks – the price of being LAZY !!!!!.
Evaluations - Macrobenchmarks

- Flash web server & thttpd web server
  - Each of them modified to use AI O, LAI O & Non-Blocking I O.
- Intel Xeon 2.4 GHz with 2 GB memory.
- Gigabit Ethernet between machines.
- FreeBSD 5.2-CURRENT.
- Two web workloads
  - Rice 1.1 GB footprint – fits in server memory.
  - Berkeley 6.4 GB footprint – oops! Does not fit!
- Two test cases for each workload
  - Cold Cache – when cache is previously empty.
  - Warm cache – when cache is previously full.
## Summary of Modified Webservers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server-Network-Disk</th>
<th>Threaded</th>
<th>Blocking operations</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>thttpd-NB-B</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>disk I/O</td>
<td>stock version conventional event-driven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thttpd-LAIO-LAIO</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td></td>
<td>normal LAIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash-NB-AMPED</td>
<td>Process-based Helpers</td>
<td></td>
<td>stock version multiple address spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash-NB-B</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>disk I/O</td>
<td>conventional event-driven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash-LAIO-LAIO</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td></td>
<td>normal LAIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash-NB-AIO</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>disk I/O other than read/write</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash-NB-LAIO</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash-NB-AMTED</td>
<td>Thread-based Helpers</td>
<td></td>
<td>single, shared address space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance: Berkeley Workload
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Performance: Rice Workload
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Inference from Figures

- LAIO performs better in Berkeley workload both in cold & warm cases.
  - The workload does NOT fit in memory, so blocking on I/O is inevitable.
  - Response time accordingly falls.
- LAIO performs poorly in Rice warm case
  - No blocking I/O occurs, program entirely in memory
  - Response time poor.
- LAIO gains in cold cache case with rice workload
  - Compulsory misses during initial stages – blocking.
Is it OKAY to use NB for network & LAIO for disk?

- No significant gain in using flash-NB-LAI O.
- Conclusion – USE LAIO for both.
## Compare: LAIO vs. AMPED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server-Network-Disk</th>
<th>Threaded</th>
<th>Blocking operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flash-LAIO-LAIO</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash-NB-AMPED</td>
<td>Process-based helpers</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AMPED

- Asymmetric multiprocess event-driven.
- Simulates asynchronous behaviour by submitting blocking IO operations to a pool of threads – helper threads.
Performance of LAIO vs. AMPED
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Performance of LAIO vs. AMPED
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### COMPARE LOC: AMPED VS LAIO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Flash-NB-AMPED</th>
<th>Flash-LAIO-LAIO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File read</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name conversion</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial-write state maintenance</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total code size</td>
<td>8860</td>
<td>8020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.5% reduction in lines of code
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Conclusions

- LAIO provides uniform platform.
  - Supports all system calls.
- LAIO is also simpler.
  - Used uniformly.
  - No state maintenance.
  - No helpers.
  - Less lines of code.
Analysis

- **Weaknesses**
  - No analysis in the paper to show that being LAZY is really necessary & fruitful.
  - Why would people really care about LOC once we already build LAIO library?
  - “Flash LAIO-LAIO utilizes disk more efficiently”, thus outperforms flash-NB-AMPED but HOW?? Not addressed.
  - Is there a way to increase the response time for LAIO ??? – Suggestions??

- **Strengths**
  - Addresses a pertinent problem.
  - Good analysis, taking all different test cases.
  - Considers all possible available present day alternatives.
Questions & Discussions ...